REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration of the application as amended is respectfully requested.

Status of Claims

Claims 1-3 and 5-9 are pending, with Claim 1 being the only independent claim. Claims 1-3 and 5-9 have been amended. Claim 4 has been canceled, without prejudice.

Overview of the Office Action

Claims 1-3 and 5-8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, because of the expression "an orifice" in Claim 1 and because the expression "the slot" in Claim 5 lacks antecedent basis.

Claims 1, 2, 4-6 and 8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by published U.S. Patent Application, Publication No. US 2002/0117265 (*Mayet '265*).

Claims 1, 2 and 6-8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by published U.S. Patent Application, Publication No. US 2001/0023736 (Mayet '736).

The Examiner did not reject Claims 3 and 9 over the applied references.

Amendment Addressing Section 112 and Formalities

Claim 1 has been amended to change the expression "an orifice" to "a slot on said at least one main arm" to be consistent with the original disclosure of the application, and to provide antecedent basis for the expression "said slot" in Claim 5.

In view of this modification, withdrawal of the rejection of Claims 1-3 and 5-8 under §112, second paragraph, is respectfully requested.

Summary of Subject Matter Disclosed in the Specification

The following descriptive details are based on the specification. They are provided only

for the convenience of the Examiner as part of the discussion presented herein, and are not

intended to argue limitations which are unclaimed.

One embodiment of the present application relates to a device for fabricating a tire

reinforcement. The device comprises an actuation mechanism employing only three arms,

namely, a main arm 31, a front auxiliary arm 32 and a rear auxiliary arm 33. A cord laying

element, such as an eyelet 6, is mounted on one end of the main arm. See Fig. 1.

One end of the front and rear auxiliary arms is rotatably connected to a carriage 30,

thereby rendering the auxiliary arms to be rotatable about respective geometrical rotation axes.

The respective geometrical axes of the front and rear auxiliary arms are essentially parallel to

and spaced from each other. See Fig. 1.

The other end of the front auxiliary arm is rotatably connected to the middle portion of

the main arm. The other end of the rear auxiliary arm is rotatably and slidably connected to the

other end (i.e. opposite to the end on which the eyelet is mounted) of the main arm via a slot-cam

follower connection. See Fig. 1.

This mechanism has the advantage of being able to bring the eyelet 6 very close to a core

1 without employing a third auxiliary arm and, therefore, without having to control the

movement of the third auxiliary arm. See paragraph [0021].

Furthermore, with this mechanism, the front and rear auxiliary arms can have different

lengths and/or different oscillation amplitudes, which allows orientation of the main arm, during

its movement, not to remain the same. This in turn allows the eyelet 6 to approach the bead of

S:\Rebecca\PTO\5460-3 Amendment.doc

Appl. No. 10/725,884

Amdt. Dated Nov. 30, 2005

Reply to Office action of July 1, 2005

the tire even in the case when the fabrication form is narrower at the level of the tire bead than

half-way up the sidewall. See paragraph [0025]; Fig. 2.

Descriptive Summary of the Prior Art

Mayet '265

Mayet '265 discloses an actuation mechanism. In one embodiment of Mayet '265, the

actuation mechanism employs just one auxiliary arm to guide a main arm. Another auxiliary arm

is suspended from the main arm and supports a cord laying element at its lower end. See Fig. 7.

Mayet '736

Mayet '736 discloses an actuation mechanism that employs three auxiliary arms. Two

auxiliary arms guide a main arm, which in turn supports a third auxiliary arm. A cord laying

element is mounted on the outer end of the third auxiliary arm. See Fig. 1.

Arguments

Claim 1

Applicant respectfully submits that Claim 1, as amended, is not anticipated by Mayet '265

or Mayet '736 because neither Mayet '265 nor Mayet '736 discloses, either expressly or

inherently, each and every element as set forth in Claim 1.

In particular, in Mayet '265, the rotation axis of the articulation between a main arm 31^{2a},

31^{2b} and a first auxiliary arm 32^{2a}, 32^{2b} is coaxial with the geometrical rotation axis 32R^{2a}, 32R^{2b}

of the first auxiliary arm. See Paragraphs [0067] and [0072]; Figs. 7 and 10. In contrast, in

amended Claim 1, the rotation axis of the articulation between the main arm and the first

S:\Rebecca\PTO\5460-3 Amendment.doc

auxiliary arm is spaced from the geometrical rotation axis on which the first auxiliary arm is

articulated.

In addition, in Mayet '265, the main arm 31^{2a}, 31^{2b} is only guided by a second auxiliary

arm 3D^{2a}, 3D^{2b}. The first auxiliary arm 32^{2a}, 32^{2b} does not guide the main arm 31^{2a}, 31^{2b} because

the first auxiliary arm is suspended from the main arm and supports a cord laying element 6^{2a} ,

62b at its lower end. See Figs. 7 and 10. In contrast, in amended Claim 1, the main arm is guided

by both the first auxiliary arm and the second auxiliary arm.

Moreover, in Mayet '265, the main arm 31^{2a}, 31^{2b} is not guided by the second auxiliary

arm 3D^{2a}, 3D^{2b} via a slot-cam follower connection as recited in present Claim 1. More

specifically, in Fig. 7 of Mayet '265, a slot 33^{2a} is formed on a disc 330^{2a}, and a cam follower

34^{2a} is mounted on a shoe 35^{2a}, which in turn is slidably borne by the main arm and connected to

the first auxiliary arm via a connection 369^{2a} . See paragraph [0067]; Fig. 7. In Fig. 10 of Mayet

'265, the main arm is mounted in a passage 3D12b formed in the second auxiliary arm, and is

immobilized with respect to the second auxiliary arm by means of an end plate 3D2^{2b}. See

paragraph [0073]; Fig. 10. In contrast, in amended Claim 1, the slot is on the main arm, and the

cam follower is on the second auxiliary arm and slidably engages the slot.

In view of these differences, withdrawal of the § 102(b) rejection of Claim 1 as

anticipated by Mayet '265 is respectfully requested.

With respect to Mayet '736, the Examiner relied on Fig. 6 thereof as anticipating the

claimed invention. However, it appears that the Examiner misinterpreted Fig. 6. Fig. 6 shows a

variant of a first embodiment of a device for fabricating a tire reinforcement. See paragraph

[0023]. The variant, however, relates to a mechanism for control of the movement of a third

auxiliary arm 33^{1c}. See paragraph [0065]. The first embodiment is shown in Fig. 1. Thus, arm

S:\Rebecca\PTO\5460-3 Amendment.doc

 33^{1c} in Fig. 6 corresponds to the third auxiliary arm 33^{1a} in Fig. 1. Arm 32^{1c} in Fig. 6

corresponds to the main arm 32^{1a} in Fig. 1. Arm 31^{1c} in Fig. 6 corresponds to the auxiliary arm

31^{1a} in Fig. 1.

The movement control mechanism comprises (1) a toothed wheel 3221c mounted on the

third auxiliary arm 33^{1c}, (2) a cam 381^{1c} formed on the auxiliary arm 31^{1c}, (3) a cam follower

3821c mounted on one end of a connecting rod 3831c and engaging the cam 3811c, and (4) a guide

384^{1c} mounted on the main arm 32^{1c}. The connecting rod 383^{1c} slides in the guide 384^{1c}. A rack

385^{1c} is attached to the other end of the connecting rod 383^{1c} and engages the toothed wheel

3221c. See paragraph [0066]; Fig. 6.

If the Examiner considers arm 321c to be the main arm, and arms 331c and 311c to be the

first and second auxiliary arms, then in Mayet '736 the slot is not on the main arm, and the cam

follower is not on the second auxiliary arm, as recited in present Claim 1.

If the Examiner considers the connecting rod 3831c to be a main arm, and arms 331c and

311c to be the first and second auxiliary arms, then again the slot is not on the main arm, and the

cam follower is not on the second auxiliary arm, as recited in Claim 1.

Furthermore, in both situations, the first auxiliary arm of Mayet '736 does not have a

geometrical rotation axis spaced from a rotation axis forming an articulation between the main

arm and the first auxiliary arm, as recited in Claim 1. More particularly, as clearly shown in Fig.

6, with arm 32^{1c} as the main arm, the main arm has a geometrical rotation axis which is coaxial

with the rotation axis 33R1^{1c} between the main arm and the arm 33^{1c}. With connecting rod 383^{1c}

as main arm, there is no rotation axis at all between arm 33^{1c} and the connecting rod 383^{1c}

because arm 33^{1c} is coupled to the connecting rod 383^{1c} via a rack-toothed wheel arrangement.

S:\Rebecca\PTO\5460-3 Amendment.doc

Appl. No. 10/725,884

Amdt. Dated Nov. 30, 2005

Reply to Office action of July 1, 2005

In contrast, in amended Claim 1, the slot is on the main arm, and the cam follower is on

the second auxiliary arm. In addition, the first auxiliary arm has a geometrical rotation axis as

well as a rotation axis (i.e. the main arm is mounted on the first auxiliary arm via this rotation

axis which forms an articulation between the two) which is parallel to and spaced from the

geometrical rotation axis.

In view of these differences, withdrawal of the §102(b) rejection of Claim 1 as

anticipated by Mayet '736 is respectfully requested.

Moreover, the fundamental differences discussed above between the present invention

and Mayet '265 and/or Mayet '736 render the invention clearly unobvious thereover.

Dependent Claims 2-3 and 5-9

Claims 2-3 and 5-9 depend, either directly or indirectly, from Claim 1 and, thus, each is

allowable therewith.

In addition, Claims 2-3 and 5-9 include features which serve to even more clearly

distinguish the present invention over the applied references.

Conclusion

Based on all of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is now

in proper condition for allowance. Prompt and favorable action to this effect and early passing

of this application to issue are respectfully solicited.

Should the Examiner have any comments, questions, suggestions or objections, the

Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned in order to facilitate reaching a

resolution of any outstanding issues.

S:\Rebecca\PTO\5460-3 Amendment.doc

Appl. No. 10/725,884

Amdt. Dated Nov. 30, 2005

Reply to Office action of July 1, 2005

It is believed that no further fees or charges are required at this time in connection with the present application. However, if any fees or charges are required at this time, they may be charged to our Patent and Trademark Office Deposit Account No. 03-2412.

Respectfully submitted,

COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE

Thomas Langer

Reg. No. 27,264

551 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1210

New York, New York 10176

(212) 687-2770

Dated: November 30, 2005